

Effect of sowing windows and varieties on growth, yield and oil content of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) during *rabi* season in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka

G. S. ASHWINI, F. M. DURAGANNAVAR, B. S. YENAGI AND MANJUNATH HEBBAR

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture
University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad - 580 005, Karnataka, India
E-mails: ashwinigs25@gmail.com, fndurgannavar@gmail.com

(Received: November, 2021 ; Accepted: March, 2022)

Abstract: A field experiment was conducted on to assess the productivity of groundnut varieties under different sowing windows during *rabi* season in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka" at MARS, Dharwad during 2020-21. The experiment was laid out in strip- plot design with three replications consisting of three sowing windows as vertical factor and four varieties as horizontal factor . Groundnut sown on 48th MW recorded significantly higher pod yield (2805 kg ha⁻¹) and at par with 52nd MW. The pod yield was increased to the tune of 19.51 per cent during 48th MW and 18.19 per cent during 52nd MW over the 42nd MW. The variety Dh-257 recorded significantly higher pod yield (3240 kg ha⁻¹) and was at par with Dh-256. The variety Dh-257 and Dh-256 recorded 83.56 and 83.39 per cent increased pod yield respectively, over TMV-2. The variety Dh-257 sown on 48th MW recorded significantly higher pod yield (3442 kg ha⁻¹) and on par with Dh-257 sown on 52nd MW, Dh-256 sown on 48th MW and 52nd MW. The variety Dh-257 sown on 48th MW and 52nd MW recorded 126.00 and 125.80 per cent higher pod yield compared to TMV-2 sown on 42nd MW respectively. Groundnut sown on 48th MW recorded significantly higher net returns (₹ 105139 ha⁻¹) and at par with 52nd MW compared to 42nd MW. The variety Dh-257 recorded significantly higher net returns (₹ 131489 ha⁻¹) and at par with Dh-256 compared to K-1574 and TMV-2. The variety Dh-257 sown on 48th MW recorded significantly higher net returns (₹ 143423 ha⁻¹) and on par with Dh-257 sown on 52nd MW, Dh-256 sown on 48th MW and 52nd MW.

Key words: Groundnut, Growing degree days, Quality, Sowing windows

Introduction

The groundnut is cultivated on large scale in almost all the tropical and sub-tropical countries. In India, the crop is cultivated in an area of 4.82 m ha with a total production of 9.95 m t with productivity of 2063 kg ha⁻¹. In Karnataka, the crop is cultivated in an area of 5.02 lakh ha with a total production of 4.23 lakh t with a productivity of 1069 kg ha⁻¹ (Anon., 2020).

Groundnut during *rabi* season is gaining significant importance in some parts of Northern Karnataka. At present in Ramdurga (Belgaum) and Badami (Bagalkot) taluks farmers are practicing groundnut cultivation in about 2000 ha of area during *rabi* season. Hence, the selection of suitable genotypes and sowing window the important non-monetary inputs that play a significant role in enhancing the productivity of the crop during *rabi* season. The groundnut genotypes are much sensitive and influenced by weather parameters like solar radiation, temperature, moisture, humidity and precipitation. Hence, selection of suitable genotypes for appropriate sowing window assumes greater significance for higher and sustained production, efficient utilization and conservation of resources. Taking these facts into consideration an experiment was undertaken to assess the productivity and oil content of groundnut varieties under different sowing windows during *rabi* season in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka.

Material and methods

The experiment was conducted during *rabi* season 2020-21 on medium black clay loam soil at AICRP on Groundnut, MARS, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad which is located at 15°29' N latitude and 74°59' E longitude. The experiment was

laid out in strip- plot design with three replications consisting of three sowing windows as vertical factor D₁: 42nd MW (15 October- 21 October), D₂: 48th MW (26 November- 02 December), D₃: 52nd MW (24 December- 31 December) and four varieties as horizontal factor V₁: Dh-256, V₂: Dh-257, V₃: K-1574, V₄: TMV-2. Treated seeds were sown manually at a spacing of 30×10 cm. Gap filling was done at 8 days after sowing of groundnut. Farm yard manure @ 7.5 t ha⁻¹ was applied 15 days before sowing. Basal application of nitrogen @ 18 kg N ha⁻¹ and phosphorus @ 46 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ were applied in form of diammonium phosphate and potassium @ 25 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ in the form of muriate of potash. FeSO₄ and ZnSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ were also applied at time sowing. Gypsum was applied @ 500 kg ha⁻¹ at peg initiation stage. The crop was provided with total irrigation of 450 mm at 15 days interval including pre-sowing and uprooting irrigation. According to the date of sowing and duration of the varieties, the crop was harvested at physiological maturity. Growth and yield observations at harvest and oil content, oil yield and economics were recorded as per the standard procedures. The data collected from the experiment during crop growth period were subjected to statistical analysis as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Results and discussion

Effect of sowing windows on growth and yield of groundnut

The pod and haulm yield of groundnut was significantly influenced by sowing windows. Groundnut sown on 48th MW recorded significantly higher pod yield and haulm yield (2805 kg ha⁻¹ and 3027 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and found at par

Table 1. Growth parameters of groundnut at harvest as influenced by different sowing windows and varieties

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches plant ⁻¹	Leaf area (dm ² plant ⁻¹)	Leaf area index
Sowing window				
D ₁	25.38	7.78	8.82	2.94
D ₂	28.65	8.02	10.58	3.53
D ₃	28.39	8.01	10.54	3.51
S.Em. ±	0.11	0.006	0.01	0.004
C.D. (p=0.05)	0.42	0.023	0.05	0.017
Variety				
V ₁	25.17	7.97	10.38	3.46
V ₂	28.61	7.92	10.40	3.47
V ₃	29.12	8.00	9.74	3.25
V ₄	26.99	7.86	9.41	3.14
S.Em. ±	0.16	0.007	0.03	0.011
C.D. (p=0.05)	0.55	0.024	0.12	0.039
Interaction (D×V)				
D ₁ V ₁	22.02	7.84	9.11	3.04
D ₁ V ₂	26.83	7.75	8.94	2.98
D ₁ V ₃	27.70	7.88	8.74	2.91
D ₁ V ₄	24.97	7.65	8.49	2.83
D ₂ V ₁	27.00	8.04	11.02	3.67
D ₂ V ₂	29.53	8.01	11.14	3.71
D ₂ V ₃	30.03	8.06	10.27	3.42
D ₂ V ₄	28.03	7.96	9.89	3.30
D ₃ V ₁	26.50	8.04	10.99	3.66
D ₃ V ₂	29.47	8.00	11.10	3.70
D ₃ V ₃	29.63	8.05	10.21	3.40
D ₃ V ₄	27.97	7.95	9.85	3.28
S.Em. ±	0.26	0.010	0.05	0.018
C.D. (p=0.05)	0.79	0.031	0.16	0.054
Sowing windows (D) D₁; 42nd MW (15 October- 21 October)				
D ₂ : 48 th MW (26 November- 02 December)				
D ₃ : 52 nd MW (24 December- 31 December)				
Varieties (V)				
V ₁ : Dh-256				
V ₂ : Dh-257				
V ₃ : K-1574				
V ₄ : TMV-2				
NS: Non significant				
	DAS: Days after sowing			

with groundnut sown on 52nd MW (2774 kg ha⁻¹ and 3000 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). Groundnut sown during 48th MW recorded 19.51 per cent higher pod yield and 18.19 per cent during 52nd MW over the 42nd MW (Table 3). The increased pod yield and haulm yield was due to higher yield attributing characters *viz.*, number of pods plant⁻¹ (Table 2), pod weight plant⁻¹, 100 kernel weight (Table 3), shelling percentage and harvest index (Table 3). Increase in the pod yield and yield attributes was mainly due to higher plant height, number of branches, leaf area plant⁻¹ and leaf area index (Table 1). Hussain *et al.* (2019) attributed significantly higher pod yield to the higher yield attributing characters and favourable weather condition. Similar results were also reported by Ibraheem *et al.* (2018), Ijaz *et al.* (2021) and Kumar *et al.* (2017) in groundnut.

Effect of varieties on growth and yield of groundnut

The variety Dh-257 recorded significantly higher pod yield (3240 kg ha⁻¹) and haulm yield (3480 kg ha⁻¹) and found at par with Dh-256. The increase in pod yield of the varieties was attributed to genetic makeup resulted in better performance of yield to an extent of 83.56 per cent in Dh-257 and 83.39 per cent in Dh-256 compared to the variety TMV-2. The increased pod yield and haulm yield of the varieties was due to higher yield attributing characters *viz.*, number of pods plant⁻¹ (Table 2), shelling percentage, harvest index (Table 3). Raagavalli *et al.* (2019) attributed significantly higher pod yield to the better morpho-physiological characters of the varieties. Similar results were also reported by Chaudhari *et al.* (2018) and Kumar *et al.* (2020) in groundnut.

Table 2. Pod and kernel yield of groundnut as influenced by different sowing windows and varieties

Treatments	Pod weight (g plant ⁻¹)	Pod yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Kernel yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	100 kernel weight (g)
Sowing window				
D ₁	17.36	2347	1445	42.26
D ₂	26.68	2805	1888	48.29
D ₃	26.34	2774	1862	47.62
S.Em. ±	0.18	19.25	13.93	0.21
C.D. (p=0.05)	0.71	75.57	54.71	0.83
Variety				
V ₁	25.83	3237	2134	45.89
V ₂	25.84	3240	2135	45.92
V ₃	21.88	2327	1531	55.82
V ₄	20.28	1765	1126	36.59
S.Em. ±	0.30	19.02	8.27	0.41
C.D. (p=0.05)	1.04	65.82	28.61	1.43
Interaction (D×V)				
D ₁ V ₁	19.63	2925	1838	42.97
D ₁ V ₂	18.61	2840	1764	40.43
D ₁ V ₃	16.23	2100	1275	51.40
D ₁ V ₄	14.97	1523	903	34.23
D ₂ V ₁	28.95	3393	2282	47.50
D ₂ V ₂	29.71	3442	2322	48.77
D ₂ V ₃	25.02	2471	1686	58.50
D ₂ V ₄	23.03	1916	1263	38.40
D ₃ V ₁	28.90	3392	2281	47.20
D ₃ V ₂	29.21	3440	2320	48.57
D ₃ V ₃	24.39	2410	1633	57.57
D ₃ V ₄	22.85	1855	1213	37.13
S.Em. ±	0.28	26.45	21.97	0.60
C.D. (p=0.05)	0.86	81.50	67.69	1.85
Sowing windows (D) D₁; 42nd MW (15 October- 21 October)				
D ₂ : 48 th MW (26 November- 02 December)				
D ₃ : 52 nd MW (24 December- 31 December)				
Varieties (V)				
V ₁ : Dh-256				
V ₂ : Dh-257				
V ₃ : K-1574				
V ₄ : TMV-2				
NS: Non significant				
	DAS: Days after sowing			

NS: Non significant DAS: Days after sowing

Effect of sowing windows and varieties on growth

Table 3. Yield parameters and oil content of groundnut as influenced by different sowing windows and varieties

Treatments	Shelling percentage (%)	Haulm yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index	Oil content (%)
Sowing window				
D ₁	61.43	2519	29.46	41.16
D ₂	69.25	3027	32.45	42.08
D ₃	68.74	3000	32.18	41.77
S.Em. ±	0.23	21.75	0.23	0.22
C.D. (p=0.05)	0.92	85.40	0.90	NS
Variety				
V ₁	68.44	3472	31.80	42.95
V ₂	68.53	3480	31.72	43.17
V ₃	65.40	2563	31.20	37.86
V ₄	63.53	1880	30.74	42.70
S.Em. ±	0.20	17.02	0.15	0.18
C.D. (p=0.05)	0.70	58.88	0.54	0.61
Interaction (D×V)				
D ₁ V ₁	63.63	3023	31.29	42.09
D ₁ V ₂	62.10	2853	30.98	42.68
D ₁ V ₃	60.70	2432	28.13	37.40
D ₁ V ₄	59.30	1767	27.45	42.49
D ₂ V ₁	70.96	3701	32.17	43.79
D ₂ V ₂	71.92	3815	32.01	43.44
D ₂ V ₃	68.23	2646	32.94	38.23
D ₂ V ₄	65.90	1948	32.68	42.86
D ₃ V ₁	70.72	3691	31.93	42.95
D ₃ V ₂	71.56	3772	32.17	43.41
D ₃ V ₃	67.27	2610	32.53	37.95
D ₃ V ₄	65.40	1925	32.10	42.76
S.Em. ±	0.42	47.31	0.40	0.17
C.D. (p=0.05)	1.29	145.78	1.22	NS

Sowing windows (D) D₁; 42nd MW (15 October- 21 October)

D₂; 48th MW (26 November- 02 December)

D₃; 52nd MW (24 December- 31 December)

Varieties (V) V₁; Dh-256

V₂; Dh-257

V₃; K-1574

V₄; TMV-2

NS: Non significant

DAS: Days after sowing

Interaction effect of sowing windows and varieties on growth and yield of groundnut

The variety Dh-257 sown during 48th MW recorded significantly higher pod yield (3442 kg ha⁻¹) and found on par with Dh-257 sown during 52nd MW (3440 kg ha⁻¹), Dh-256 sown during 48th MW (3393 kg ha⁻¹) and 52nd MW (3392 kg ha⁻¹). The variety Dh-257 sown during 48th MW and 52nd MW recorded 126.00 and 125.80 per cent, respectively and the variety Dh-256 sown during 48th MW and 52nd MW recorded 122.78 and 122.71 per cent, respectively compared to TMV-2 sown during 42nd MW. The increased pod yield and haulm yield was due to higher growth and yield attributing characters viz., number of pods plant⁻¹, pod weight plant⁻¹ and 100 kernel weight (Table 2), shelling percentage, harvest index (Table 3), might be due to genetic potentiality of improved varieties coupled with favourable weather conditions prevailed during 48th MW. The findings were in conformity with the results of

Hussain *et al.* (2019) who attributed significantly higher pod yield to the higher yield attributing characters and favourable weather condition. Similar results were also reported by Ibraheem *et al.* (2018), Ijaz *et al.* (2021) and Kumar *et al.* (2017) in groundnut.

Effect of sowing windows on oil content of groundnut varieties

Significantly higher oil content (43.17 %) was recorded in the variety Dh-257 and found on par with Dh-256 (42.95 %) and TMV-2 (42.70 %) compared to the variety K-1574 (37.86 %). Groundnut sown on 48th MW recorded significantly higher oil yield (798.27 kg ha⁻¹) and found at par with groundnut sown on 52nd MW (781.37 kg ha⁻¹) compared to groundnut sown on 42nd MW (596.70 kg ha⁻¹). The variety Dh-257 recorded significantly higher oil yield (922.78 kg ha⁻¹) and found at par with Dh-256 (917.53 kg ha⁻¹) compared to the variety TMV-2 (481.18 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 3 and 4). Interaction effect of sowing windows and

Table 4. Oil yield and economics of groundnut as influenced by different sowing windows and varieties

Treatments	Oil yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Gross returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Net returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio
Sowing window				
D ₁	596.70	142069	77374	2.19
D ₂	798.27	169834	105139	2.62
D ₃	781.37	167945	103250	2.59
S.Em. ±	4.26	1151	1151	0.02
C.D. (p=0.05)	16.74	4519	4519	0.07
Variety				
V ₁	917.53	195929	131489	3.04
V ₂	922.78	196167	131183	3.02
V ₃	580.30	140888	73592	2.09
V ₄	481.18	106813	44753	1.72
S.Em. ±	3.57	1142	1142	0.02
C.D. (p=0.05)	12.35	3951	3951	0.06
Interaction (D×V)				
D ₁ V ₁	773.57	177012	112572	2.75
D ₁ V ₂	752.71	171827	106843	2.64
D ₁ V ₃	476.93	127196	59900	1.89
D ₁ V ₄	383.60	92243	30183	1.49
D ₂ V ₁	999.25	205430	140990	3.19
D ₂ V ₂	1008.43	208407	143423	3.21
D ₂ V ₃	644.22	149563	82267	2.22
D ₂ V ₄	541.18	115934	53874	1.87
D ₃ V ₁	979.77	205346	140906	3.19
D ₃ V ₂	1007.21	208266	143282	3.20
D ₃ V ₃	619.76	145905	78609	2.17
D ₃ V ₄	518.76	112262	50202	1.81
S.Em. ±	9.96	1584	1584	0.02
C.D. (p=0.05)	30.69	4882	4882	0.08
Sowing windows (D) D₁; 42nd MW (15 October- 21 October)				
D₂; 48th MW (26 November- 02 December)				
D₃; 52nd MW (24 December- 31 December)				
Varieties (V) V₁; Dh-256				
V₂; Dh-257				
V₃; K-1574				
V₄; TMV-2				
NS: Non significant				
DAS: Days after sowing				

varieties showed significant difference in oil yield. The variety Dh-257 sown on 48th MW recorded significantly higher oil yield (1008.43 kg ha⁻¹) and found on par with Dh-257 sown on 52nd MW (1007.21 kg ha⁻¹), Dh-256 sown on 48th MW (999.25 kg ha⁻¹) and 52nd MW (979.77 kg ha⁻¹) compared to TMV-2 sown on 42nd MW (383.60 kg ha⁻¹). The findings are in conformity with the results of Mohite *et al.* (2017) attributed to higher kernel yield and oil content.

Effect of sowing windows and varieties on economics of groundnut

Significantly higher net returns was recorded with the variety Dh-257 sown on 48th MW (₹ 143423 ha⁻¹) and found on par with Dh-257 sown on 52nd MW (₹ 143282 ha⁻¹), Dh-256 sown on 48th MW (₹ 143282 ha⁻¹) and 52nd MW (₹ 140906 ha⁻¹). The higher

net returns was due to higher pod yield and haulm yield (Table 4). These results were in conformity with the findings of Ibraheem *et al.* (2018), Ijaz *et al.* (2021) and Kumar *et al.* (2017) in groundnut. The variety Dh-257 sown on 48th MW recorded significantly higher B-C ratio (3.21) and found on par with Dh-257 sown on 52nd MW (3.20), Dh-256 sown on 48th MW (3.19) and 52nd MW (3.19) which was attributed to the higher pod yield and higher net returns.

Conclusion

It was concluded that sowing of groundnut variety Dh-257 during 48th MW found optimum for higher pod yield, oil content, oil yield and net returns which was on par with Dh-257 sown on 52nd MW and Dh-256 sown on 48th MW during *rabi* season in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka.

References

Anonymous, 2020, Directorate of Economics and Statistics. Govt. of India, New Delhi, pp. 15-16

Chaudhari P G, Chaudhari P P and Chaudhari M K, 2018, Response of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) to date of sowing and spacings. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(2): 1504-1506.

Gomez K A and Gomez A A, 1984, *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*, 2nd Ed., John Wiley and sons, New York, p. 639.

Hussain M, Ali A, Masood S A, Saadia, Afzal S, Afzal A, Rehman A U, Ali B and Ali Q, 2019, Evaluation of optimum sowing dates for newly developed lines of groundnut in Rainfed areas of northern Punjab. *International Journal of Botony Studies*, 5(1): 58-61.

Ibraheem A, Abo S, Debbie S and Sayeed A, 2018, Effect of sowing date and late season drought on growth and development of bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.) landraces under field conditions in Botswana. *Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 17:1.

Ijaz M, Nawaz, A, Ul-Allah S, Sher A, Sattar A, Sarwar M, Hussain I, Ur Rehman A, Wahid M A, Ansari M J and Hessini K, 2021, Optimizing sowing date for peanut genotypes in arid and semi-arid subtropical regions. *Plos One*, 16(6): e0252393.

Kumar A, Tripathi M K and Pal V, 2017, Effect of sowing time on growth, phenology and yield attribute of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in Allahabad. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(4): 2357-2365.

Kumar D S, Anitha D and Prathyusha C, 2020, Effect of dates of sowings on growth and productivity of different cultivars of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognacy and Phytochemistry*, 9(5): 2211-2213.

Mohite U A, Mohite A B and Jadhav Y R, 2017, Effect of sowing windows on growth and yield of groundnut varieties during *kharif* season. *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, College of Agriculture, Kolhapur, Maharashtra.

Raagavalli K, Soumya T M, Veeranna H K, Nataraju S P and Narayanswamy H, 2019, Effect of sowing windows on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(3): 59-67.