Assessing the growth and yield responses of rainfed pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.) to nano-DAP fertilizer application

R. SACHIN¹, *S. B. PATIL¹, G. S.YADAHALLI¹, R. A. NANDAGAVI¹ AND G. Y. VIDYAVATHI²

¹Department of Agronomy, ²Department of Soil Science and Agril. Chemistry College of Agriculture, Vijayapura - 586 101 University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad - 580 005, India *E-mail: patilsb13617@uasd.in

(Received: March, 2024 ; Accepted: May,2024)

DOI: 10.61475/JFS.2024.v37i2.05

Abstract: A field experiment was conducted during kharif 2022 at the College of Agriculture, Vijayapura, in a split-plot design with two control plots. The main plots consist of basal application of N and P levels, viz., 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0%, and subplots consist of foliar application of nano-DAP $@$ 2 ml, 4 ml and 6 ml L⁻¹ and the treatment combinations were compared with a recommended package of practice (RPP) and absolute control. The results indicated that the basal application of 100% N and P recorded significantly higher growth, yield and economics than lower N and P levels. Among the foliar applications tested, spraying nano-DAP $@$ 6 ml L⁻¹ resulted in significantly superior growth, yield and economic outcomes, followed by the application of nano-DAP $@$ 4 ml L⁻¹. Among the interactions examined, the treatment receiving 100% N and P, along with the foliar application of nano-DAP @ 6 ml L-1 demonstrated significantly superior growth attributes. Specifically, it exhibited a plant height of 177.5 cm, 36.10 branches per plant, and a total dry matter production of 252.2 g per plant. Additionally, this interaction treatment demonstrated better yield attributes, including more pods plant⁻¹ (181.79) and seed weight plant⁻¹ (74.43 g). Moreover, it produced significantly higher seed yield (1883 kg ha⁻¹) and stalk yield (5138 kg ha⁻¹) and maximum net returns (\mathcal{R} 87329 ha⁻¹) and a benefit-cost ratio (3.36) compared to other treatment combinations. However, the treatment receiving 75% N and P, coupled with nano-DAP spraying both @ 4 and 6 ml L⁻¹, noticed comparable outcomes in terms of seed yield (1712 kg ha⁻¹), stalk yield (4768 kg ha⁻¹), net returns (₹79637 ha⁻¹) and a benefit-cost ratio (3.39) with RPP. The study concludes that employing a basal application of 100% N and P coupled with foliar application of nano-DAP $@$ 4 and 6 ml L⁻¹ enhances the growth, yield, and net returns of pigeonpea in contrast to RPP.

Key words: Economics, N P levels, Nanofertilizer, Recommended package of practice

Introduction

Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp] is a legume plant that belongs to the family Fabaceae and is native to Africa. It is grown predominantly for its edible seeds for food, feed, fuel, and medicine. Tender green seeds are used as vegetables, crushed seeds as animal feed, green leaves as fodder, and the stem is used as fuel wood and primarily consumed as a split pulse known as 'dal'. In India, pigeonpea production reached 4.22 million tonnes, cultivated across 4.90 million hectares with a productivity of 861 kg ha⁻¹. The major pigeonpea-growing states include Maharashtra, which accounts for 27.73% of the total production, followed by Karnataka (19.97%) and Madhya Pradesh (14.60%). Karnataka occupies an area of 1.63 million hectares with a production of 1.23 million tonnes and an average productivity of 759 kg ha⁻¹ (Indiastat, 2022). It is commonly cultivated on marginal and sub-marginal soils, often intercropped with cereals such as sorghum, pearl millet, foxtail millet, and other pulses such as cowpea, soybean, urd bean, mung bean, and so on. Farmers have been cultivating pigeonpea as a monocrop in specific places, with the crop gaining popularity, particularly in districts such as Kalaburagi, Raichur, Bidar, and Vijayapura. This has led to North Karnataka being known as the "Pigeonpea Bowl" due to its prominence in pigeonpea cultivation.

Pigeonpea, being a leguminous crop, has the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen (N) up to $120-170$ kg ha⁻¹) via rhizobium nodulation, and its deep roots facilitate efficient moisture and nutrient uptake, rendering it suitable for rainfed areas as drought tolerant crop. While it's N-fixing, an initial $15-25$ kg N ha⁻¹ dose is often recommended. N plays a vital role in chlorophyll and enzyme formation, essential for physiological processes (Hellal and Abdelhamid et al., 2013). Phosphorus (P), also crucial for seed germination, cell division, and energy transformation, is the second most vital nutrient after N. Proper nutrient management is critical to pigeonpea's optimal growth and development. The research on pigeonpea nutrition management in India's drylands faces several critical gaps. Firstly, there is insufficient understanding of the specific nutrient requirements essential for pigeonpea during key growth stages, particularly at sowing and reproductive phases. This knowledge gap hinders the development of precise nutrient management strategies tailored to optimize pigeonpea yields. Secondly, imbalanced nutrient application practices prevail, often leading to suboptimal nutrient uptake and utilization by the crop. Additionally, the impact of moisture deficits on nutrient availability and uptake efficiency remains inadequately studied, despite being a significant challenge in dryland conditions. Addressing these challenges necessitates integrating foliar application of macro- and micronutrients. This method supplements conventional soil application techniques, ensuring a balanced and sustained nutrient supply throughout the crop's growth stages, thereby enhancing grain yields effectively.

J. Farm Sci., 37(2): 2024

The foliar application with limiting nutrients corrects the nutrient requirement but selecting more efficient nutrients that improves use efficiency with high yields. The nanofertilizers (NFs) might be a solution for increasing use efficiency while feeding crops with required nutrients and reducing the environmental impact of conventional fertilizers (Milani et al., 2012; Sabir *et al.*, 2020). NFs are gaining prominence as highly valuable nanomaterials due to their small size and unique physicochemical properties (Salam et al., 2022; Selim et al., 2020). However, there is need to be more comprehensive studies assessing the effects of NFs applications on plant growth and yields, particularly those supplying N and P nutrients to the plant. The nano-DAP stands out as a NFs available in the market that contains both N and P, and it is recommended for foliar application. Additionally, limited studies are exploring the impact of applying nano-DAP alone or in combination with conventional fertilizers on crop growth, yield, and economic outcomes in field conditions (Kah et al., 2018; Mullen, 2019). Keeping these facts in view, the present study was planned to investigate the potential positive effect of nano-DAP on pigeonpea growth and yield in field conditions.

Material and methods

A field experiment was conducted during the kharif season of 2022 at the College of Agriculture, Vijayapura, Karnataka on vertisols having an alkaline reaction (pH 8.03), low salinity (0.33 dSm-1), medium organic carbon (0.66%), low in available nitrogen $(183.32 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1})$, medium in available phosphorus (31.20 kg) P_2O_5 ha⁻¹), and high in available potassium (416.00 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). The experimental site was situated at a latitude of $16⁰45'$ 51" North and a longitude of 75⁰ 44' 46'' East, with an altitude of 593.8 meters above mean sea level, located in the Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka (Zone 3). During the experimental year (January to December 2022), a total rainfall of 793.2 mm was received across 52 rainy days as against the normal rainfall of 594.4 mm occurring over 38 rainy days. The highest rainfall of 171.7 mm was received in August, followed by October (130.9 mm). Notably, during the cropping period from July to December 2022, the total rainfall received 540 mm over 38 rainy days.

The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with two separate control plots. The main plots consist of basal application of N and P levels, viz., 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% N and P, and subplots consist of foliar application of nano-DAP $(0, 2, m]$, 4 ml and 6 ml $L¹$ and the combinations were compared with a recommended package of practice (RPP) and absolute control. The land was ploughed once after the harvest of the previous crop, followed by two harrowings. After sowing, the land was prepared to a fine seedbed, and the plots were laid out. The variety TS-3R was used in the study. The urea and diammonium phosphate (DAP) fertilizer was applied as per the treatment. The RPP treatment includes basal the application of 25:50:0 kg N, P_2O_5 and $K_2O + 15$ kg ZnSO₄.7H₂O ha⁻¹ and seed treatment with PSB (200 g kg⁻¹seed) and *Rhizobium* (200 g kg) ¹ seed)] were common all treatments except recommended N and P levels as per treatment and no fertilizers were applied to absolute control plot. The crop was sown on July 11, 2022, with a spacing of 90 cm between rows and 30 cm between plants

within each row. Due to the incidence of pod borer [Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner)] and leaf webber [Grapholita critica (Meyr.)], sprays of Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 0.5 g L^{-1} of water were taken during the secondary branching, flower initiation and pod formation stage. Harvesting was done on January 12, 2023, at the physiological maturity of the crop when pods exhibited maturity symptoms. According to the treatments, the entire plot area was harvested by cutting the plants down to ground level. Once harvested, the produce was dried and weighed before threshing to determine the pod weight per plot. Threshing was carried out manually, followed by winnowing and cleaning to separate the seeds from the haulm.

Five plants were randomly selected in each treatment in the net plot area and labelled with tags to record various growth and yield parameters. Periodic biometric observations were taken in these plants at 30, 60, 90, 120 days after sowing (DAS) and harvest. The plant height was measured from the ground level to the tip of the main shoot. The total number of branches in each plant was counted from five randomly selected plants, the mean value for each treatment was determined, and total dry matter production (TDMP) in each plant was weighed from five randomly selected plants in net plots. The yield attributes and yield were recorded from the net plots, and seed yield was converted to a hectare basis in kilograms. The harvest index (HI) was determined by dividing the economic yield by the biological yield (Donald, 1962).

The economics analysis of each treatment was calculated with prevailing market prices from the corresponding year (in 2023). The yield was further computed for gross and net returns as well the benefit-cost ratio (BCR) to assess the profitability of pigeonpea production. The BCR was worked out by dividing the gross returns by the total cost of cultivation of respective treatments. The data collected from the experiment at different growth stages and harvest were subjected to statistical analysis as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The significance level for the 'F' and 't' tests was P=0.05. Critical Difference (CD) values were calculated at 5 percent probability level if the F test was significant. The correlation coefficient was worked out among the seed and stalk yield, growth attributes and yield attributes using R studio.

Results and discussion

Effect of basal application of nitrogen and phosphorus levels

Lowering the levels of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) in basal application led to a significantly decrease growth attributes like plant height, total number of branches, total dry matter production (TDMP), and leaf area per plant, as indicated in Table 1. The treatment that received a basal application of 100% N and P exhibited significantly greater plant height (174.4 cm), total number of branches (34.92), TDMP (248.7 g plant⁻¹) at harvest, and leaf area (101.99 dm² plant⁻¹) at 90 DAS. The values decreased to 8.54, 8.71, 8.12 and 8.61% in the treatment that received 75% N and P levels, respectively. The significant increase of plant height and TDMP observed in treating 100% N and P levels might be attributed to the synergistic effects of these nutrients. N and P play a crucial role in promoting leaf

Assessing the growth and yield responses

Table 1. Growth attributes of pigeonpea as influenced by nutrient levels and nano-DAP.

| 1400 σ 1. Stoward and rounds of μ Treatments | compou as influenced by halffelit levels and hand B/N . Plant height (cm) | No. of branches | TDMP (g) | Leaf area at 90 |
|--|--|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| | at harvest | at harvest | at harvest | DAS $(dm^2$ plant ⁻¹) |
| Nutrient levels of N and P (N) | | | | |
| N_1 : 100% N and P | 174.4 | 34.92 | 248.7 | 101.99 |
| N_2 : 75% N and P | 159.5 | 31.88 | 228.5 | 93.21 |
| N_3 : 50% N and P | 148.3 | 28.90 | 194.2 | 77.05 |
| N_4 : 25% N and P | 130.7 | 23.90 | 155.1 | 65.50 |
| N_s : 0% N and P | 121.0 | 18.65 | 118.4 | 52.05 |
| $\text{S}.\text{Em}\pm$ | 4.1 | 0.77 | 3.46 | 2.09 |
| C.D. ($p = 0.05$) | 13.4 | 2.52 | 11.3 | 6.83 |
| Foliar application of nano-DAP (F) | | | | |
| F_1 : Nano-DAP @ 2 ml L ⁻¹ | 142.3 | 26.12 | 177.1 | 73.04 |
| F_2 : Nano-DAP @ 4 ml L ⁻¹ | 148.1 | 27.99 | 193.0 | 79.41 |
| F_3 : Nano-DAP @ 6 ml L ⁻¹ | 149.9 | 28.83 | 196.8 | 81.44 |
| $S.Em\pm$ | 0.6 | 0.19 | 1.29 | 0.72 |
| C.D. ($p = 0.05$) | 1.6 | 0.55 | 3.81 | 2.11 |
| Interactions ($N\times F$) | | | | |
| N_1F_1 | 171.4 | 33.88 | 244.2 | 99.69 |
| N_1F_2 | 174.4 | 34.78 | 249.8 | 102.59 |
| N_1F_3 | 177.5 | 36.10 | 252.2 | 103.69 |
| N_2F_1 | 152.9 | 30.77 | 216.3 | 91.00 |
| N_2F_2 | 161.6 | 31.70 | 229.1 | 92.65 |
| N_2F_3 | 164.0 | 33.16 | 236.2 | 95.98 |
| $N_{3}F_{1}$ | 145.4 | 27.54 | 176.1 | 72.36 |
| N_3F_2 | 149.1 | 29.47 | 199.8 | 78.37 |
| N_3F_3 | 150.3 | 29.69 | 206.6 | 80.43 |
| N_4F_1 | 127.9 | 21.16 | 140.5 | 59.13 |
| N_4F_2 | 131.5 | 24.96 | 159.9 | 68.25 |
| N_4F_3 | 132.8 | 25.57 | 164.8 | 69.14 |
| $N_{5}F_{1}$ | 113.7 | 17.26 | 108.5 | 43.01 |
| $N_{5}F_{2}$ | 124.1 | 19.05 | 122.6 | 55.18 |
| $N_{5}F_{3}$ | 125.1 | 19.63 | 124.1 | 57.96 |
| $\text{S}.\text{Em}\pm$ | 4.2 | 0.85 | 4.19 | 2.47 |
| C.D. ($p = 0.05$) | 13.7 | 2.71 | 13.25 | 7.84 |
| Control(C) | | | | |
| C_i : RPP | 167.1 | 33.56 | 241.5 | 97.90 |
| C_2 : Absolute control | 105.3 | 15.23 | 101.3 | 39.34 |
| $\text{S}.\text{Em}\pm$ | 4.4 | 0.75 | 4.92 | 2.62 |
| C.D. ($p = 0.05$) | 12.8 | 2.17 | 14.18 | 7.54 |

RPP: Recommended package of practice; TDMP: Total dry matter production

and stem growth by facilitating the formation of essential compounds such as proteins and chlorophyll. Further, they promote cellular processes, photosynthesis efficiency, and nutrient uptake. These combined effects ultimately resulted in increased plant height and dry matter accumulation (Kavitha et al., 2019). Several studies have highlighted the significant effect of higher doses of N and P fertilizer application on dry matter accumulation in different parts and TDMP (Xu et al., 2021; Sapkota et al., 2017; Jaishankar and Manivannan., 2018).

 The findings of the present study are in alignment with those of Kavitha et al. (2019), who noticed in vegetable cowpea that the application of 125% improves both growth and yield attributes compared to 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF).

Yield attributes, including the number of pods plant⁻¹, seed weight plant⁻¹, and number of seeds pod⁻¹ exhibited significant increases with the basal application of different levels of N and P. Still, the test weight was non-significant (Table 2). Dhaka

et al. (2020) observed that among different fertility levels in pigeonpea, the application of 40 kg $N + 40$ kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ resulted in significantly improved yield attributes, yielding a remarkable 39.7% higher seed yield than the control. In the present study, the treatment consisting of 100% N and P recorded significantly higher values for the number of pods plant⁻¹ (178.22) and seed weight per plant (72.20 g), followed by the treatment with 75% N and P (165.76 and 64.20 g, respectively). The increase in yield attributes due to N and P is indispensable for boosting healthy vegetative growth and optimal grain development in pigeonpea crops. These nutrients contribute significantly to the plant's metabolic processes, chlorophyll synthesis, energy production, and root establishment (Onasanya et al., 2009).

The basal application of N and P levels significantly influenced the seed and stalk yield of pigeonpea (Table 2). The treatment receiving basal nutrient levels of 100% N and P exhibited a considerably higher seed and stalk yield (1835 and 5028 kg ha-1). A reduction of 25% from the recommended dose

J. Farm Sci., 37(2): () 2024

Table 2. Yield attributes and yield of pigeonpea as influenced by nutrient levels and nano-DAP

| Treatments | Number of pods | Seed weight | Test weight | Seed yield | Stalk |
|---|---------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| | plant ⁻¹ | $plant-1(g)$ | (g) | $(kg ha^{-1})$ | yield (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| Nutrient levels of N and P (N) | | | | | |
| N_1 : 100% N and P | 178.22 | 72.20 | 10.78 | 1835 | 5028 |
| N_2 : 75% N and P | 165.76 | 64.20 | 10.54 | 1640 | 4565 |
| N_3 : 50% N and P | 147.36 | 57.86 | 10.42 | 1420 | 4102 |
| N_4 : 25% N and P | 121.21 | 44.26 | 10.19 | 1179 | 3578 |
| $\mathrm{N}_\mathrm{s}{:}\ 0\%$ N and P | 95.09 | 33.17 | 10.00 | 768 | 2495 |
| $S.Em\pm$ | 3.74 | 1.13 | 0.22 | 34 | 96 |
| C.D. $(p = 0.05)$ | 12.21 | 3.68 | $_{\rm NS}$ | 112 | 313 |
| Foliar application of nano-DAP (F) | | | | | |
| F_1 : Nano-DAP @ 2 ml L ⁻¹ | 135.0 | 50.68 | 10.33 | 1308 | 3806 |
| F_2 : Nano-DAP @ 4 ml L ⁻¹ | 143.1 | 55.33 | 10.40 | 1380 | 3999 |
| F_3 : Nano-DAP @ 6 ml L^{-1} | 146.6 | 57.01 | 10.43 | 1417 | 4056 |
| $S.Em\pm$ | 1.20 | 0.46 | 0.15 | $10\,$ | 31 |
| C.D. ($p = 0.05$) | 3.55 | 1.37 | $_{\rm NS}$ | 31 | 93 |
| Interactions $(N\times F)$ | | | | | |
| $\mathbf{N}_1\mathbf{F}_1$ | 174.39 | 69.60 | 10.68 | 1778 | 4896 |
| N_1F_2 | 178.48 | 72.58 | 10.81 | 1843 | 5052 |
| N_1F_3 | 181.79 | 74.43 | 10.85 | 1883 | 5138 |
| N_2F_1 | 161.45 | 62.34 | 10.51 | 1579 | 4434 |
| N_2F_2 | 166.35 | 64.02 | 10.59 | 1643 | 4576 |
| N_2F_3 | 169.48 | 66.26 | 10.51 | 1698 | 4686 |
| N_3F_1 | 140.14 | 54.80 | 10.41 | 1376 | 4065 |
| N_3F_2 | 149.91 | 58.84 | 10.43 | 1434 | 4109 |
| $N_{3}F_{3}$ | 152.04 | 59.95 | 10.43 | 1450 | 4132 |
| N_4F_1 | 106.93 | 36.93 | 10.10 | 1052 | 3182 |
| N_4F_2 | 124.25 | 47.10 | 10.13 | 1214 | 3772 |
| N_4F_3 | 132.46 | 48.75 | 10.33 | 1272 | 3780 |
| $N_{5}F_{1}$ | 91.93 | 29.75 | 10.13 | 755 | 2453 |
| $N_{5}F_{2}$ | 92.26 | 34.10 | 10.02 | 767 | 2487 |
| $\rm N_{\it 5}F_{\it 3}$ | 93.07 | 35.65 | 10.04 | 780 | 2545 |
| $S.Em\pm$ | 4.34 | 1.04 | 0.34 | 23 | 70 |
| C.D. $(p = 0.05)$ | 13.80 | 3.07 | $_{\rm NS}$ | 69 | 207 |
| Control(C) | | | | | |
| C_i : RPP | 171.55 | 68.26 | 10.84 | 1712 | 4768 |
| C_2 : Absolute control | 77.01 | 26.42 | 9.73 | 743 | 2333 |
| $S.Em\pm$ | 5.52 | 1.46 | 0.33 | 35 | 119 |
| C.D. ($p = 0.05$) | 15.91 | 4.20 | $_{\rm NS}$ | 102 | 341 |

NS: Non significant; RPP: Recommended package of practice

 $(i.e., 75\% N and P)$ led to a 10.63% and 9.21% decrease in seed and stalk yield (1640 and 4565 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). The lower nutrient levels might have limited protein synthesis, enzymatic activity, and energy transfer, consequently slightly reducing stalk growth and yield. Further reduction and absence of N and P basal application could severely restrict protein synthesis, enzyme activity, root development, and energy transfer. These limitations could greatly hinder overall plant growth, resulting in significantly reduced stalk yield. Similarly, Monica et al. (2020) concluded that applying 100% RDF recorded statistically higher grain and straw yield compared to 25% RDF, as seen in 75% RDF and 50% RDF. Similar results were obtained by Kumawat et al. (2013), Deshbhratar et al. (2010), and Gayatri and Pandian (2019). Economic parameters such as gross returns, net returns, and benefit-cost ratio (BCR) exhibited an increasing trend with N and P application, reaching their maximum levels at the highest application rate (Table 3). This trend can be attributed to the increased seed and stalk yields with basal N and P levels. The

value of the increased yield outweighed the cost of nutrients, thus contributing to higher net returns and BCR. In our study, the basal application of different levels of N and P had a significant effect on gross returns, net returns, and BCR. The treatment receiving the basal application of 100% N and P levels recorded $\bar{\tau}$ 121087 ha⁻¹, $\bar{\tau}$ 85361 ha⁻¹, and 3.39 for gross returns, net returns, and BCR, respectively. This was followed by the treatment receiving 75% N and P levels, which recorded ₹ 108238 ha⁻¹, ₹ 73342 ha⁻¹, and 3.10 for gross returns, net returns, and BCR, respectively. Similar findings were also reported by Renuka (2022).

Effect of foliar application of nano-DAP

The foliar sprays of nano-DAP significantly influenced the growth attributes (Table 1). Notably, higher plant height, total number of branches, total dry matter production (TDMP) per plant at harvest, and leaf area were observed with foliar application of nano-DAP @ 6 ml L-1 (149.9 cm, 28.83, 196.8 g plant-1 and Assessing the growth and yield responses

Table 3. Cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns and benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of pigeonpea as influenced by nutrient levels and nano- DAP

| Treatments | Cost of cultivation | Gross returns | Net returns | BCR | | | |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | $(\overline{\mathbf{\mathcal{F}}} \text{ha}^{-1})$ | $(\bar{\mathbf{\mathcal{F}}} \text{ha}^{-1})$ | $(\overline{\mathbf{\mathcal{F}}} \text{ha}^{-1})$ | | | | |
| Nutrient levels of N and P (N) | | | | | | | |
| N_1 : 100% N and P | 35726 | 121087 | 85361 | 3.39 | | | |
| N_2 : 75% N and P | 34896 | 108238 | 73342 | 3.10 | | | |
| N_{3} : 50% N and P | 34010 | 93735 | 59725 | 2.76 | | | |
| N_4 : 25% N and P | 33155 | 77836 | 44681 | 2.34 | | | |
| N_s : 0% N and P | 32300 | 50659 | 18359 | 1.57 | | | |
| $\text{S}.\text{Em}\pm$ | | 2267 | 2267 | 0.07 | | | |
| C.D. $(p = 0.05)$ | | 7393 | 7393 | 0.22 | | | |
| Foliar application of nano-DAP (F) | | | | | | | |
| F_1 : Nano-DAP @ 2 ml L ⁻¹ | 32817 | 86346 | 53528 | 2.61 | | | |
| \mathbf{F}_2 : Nano-DAP @ 4 ml $\mathbf{L}^{\text{-}1}$ | 34017 | 91087 | 57070 | 2.66 | | | |
| F_3 : Nano-DAP @ 6 ml L ⁻¹ | 35217 | 93500 | 58282 | 2.63 | | | |
| $\text{S}.\text{Em}\pm$ | | 691 | 691 | 0.02 | | | |
| C.D. $(p = 0.05)$ | | 2038 | 2038 | $_{\rm NS}$ | | | |
| Interactions $(N\times F)$ | | | | | | | |
| N_1F_1 | 34526 | 117370 | 82844 | 3.40 | | | |
| N_1F_2 | 35726 | 121637 | 85911 | 3.40 | | | |
| N_1F_3 | 36926 | 124255 | 87329 | 3.36 | | | |
| $N_{2}F$ | 33696 | 104236 | 70540 | 3.09 | | | |
| N_2F_2 | 34896 | 108409 | 73513 | 3.11 | | | |
| N_2F_3 | 36096 | 112068 | 75972 | 3.10 | | | |
| $N_{3}F_{1}$ | 32810 | 90838 | 58028 | 2.77 | | | |
| $\rm N_{\rm 3}F_{\rm 2}$ | 34010 | 94666 | 60656 | 2.78 | | | |
| | 35210 | 95700 | 60490 | 2.72 | | | |
| N_3F_3 N_4F_1 | 31955 | 69432 | 37477 | 2.17 | | | |
| N_4F_2 | 33155 | 80102 | 46947 | 2.42 | | | |
| N_4F_3 | 34355 | 83974 | 49619 | 2.44 | | | |
| $N_{5}F_{1}$ | 31100 | 49852 | 18752 | 1.60 | | | |
| $N_{5}F_{2}$ | 32300 | 50622 | 18322 | 1.57 | | | |
| $N_{5}F_{3}$ | 33500 | 51502 | 18002 | 1.54 | | | |
| $\text{S}.\text{Em}\pm$ | | 1545 | 1545 | 0.04 | | | |
| C.D. ($p = 0.05$) | | 4557 | 4557 | 0.13 | | | |
| Control(C) | | | | | | | |
| C_i : RPP | 33326 | 112963 | 79637 | 3.39 | | | |
| C_2 : Absolute control | 28830 | 49035 | 20205 | 1.70 | | | |
| $\text{S}.\text{Em}\pm$ | | 2329 | 2329 | 0.07 | | | |
| C.D. $(p = 0.05)$ | | 6710 | 6710 | 0.20 | | | |
| RPP: Recommended package of practice | | | | | | | |

81.44 dm² plant⁻¹, respectively) compared to nano-DAP $@$ 2 ml L-1. This enhanced growth could potentially be attributed to the application of nanoscale nutrient increased tryptophan in meristematic cells, which triggered auxins resulting in higher plant height. Additionally, the application of nano-based nutrients, particularly N and P, has been noted to positively influence the branching development of peas and other pulse crops, as observed by Abd Alqader et al. (2020). Consistent with our findings, Merghany et al., 2019 opined that the application of liquid nano-NPK $@$ 6 ml L⁻¹ significantly improved the plant height, number of leaves, chlorophyll content, and fruit yield in cucumber. Similar results were also found by Islam et al. (2023) and Gomma et al. (2018).

The foliar application of nano-DAP also had a significant impact on both seed and stalk yields (Table 2). Specifically, spraying nano-DAP $@$ 6 ml L⁻¹ produced substantially higher seed and stalk yields (1417 and 4056 kg ha⁻¹, respectively)

compared to the nano-DAP $@$ 2 ml L⁻¹ (Table 2). The higher seed yield and stalk yields can be attributed to more pods per plant (146.6), higher seed weight per plant (57.01g) and enhanced test weight (10.43 g). This might be due to nanofertilizers integrating nanoscale devices to harmonize the controlled release of N and P fertilizers, optimizing crop absorption (DeRosa et al., 2010). Higher concentrations of nanofertilizer lead to a larger surface area, making it easier for the leaves to absorb more nutrients when sprayed. Consequently, this leads to a greater number of pods per plant, boosting flower growth and facilitating nutrient transport during the plant's reproductive phase, as also observed by Hassan and Lehmood (2019). Additionally, Liu and Lal (2014) observed that the application of nano apatite (α) 1 ml L⁻¹ increased the growth rate and seed yield by 32.6 and 20.4%, respectively compared to soybeans treated with a regular P fertilizer.

Fig. 1 Correlation matrix analysis for seed yield and stalk yield

attributes and growth attributes of pigeonpea as influenced by nutrient levels and nano-DAP.

The foliar application of nano-DAP also significantly impacted gross returns, net returns, and BCR as outlined in Table 3. Specifically, the foliar spray of nano-DAP $(20, 6, \text{mL})^{-1}$ produced significantly higher gross returns ($\overline{\xi}$ 93500 ha⁻¹) and net returns ($\overline{\xi}$ 58282 ha⁻¹). However, a higher BCR (2.66) was found with nano-DAP applied $(0, 4, 1)$ L⁻¹. Nevertheless, the treatment of nano-DAP @ 4 ml L⁻¹ (₹91087 and 57070 ha⁻¹, respectively) was statistically on par with the nano-DAP (2) 6 ml L-1 treatment except for the BCR. This variance could be attributed to the highest seed yield achieved through foliar sprays, which consequently influenced the economic outcomes. Similar conclusions were drawn by Renuka (2022).

Interaction effect of basal application of N and P levels and foliar application of nano-DAP

The combined application of basal N and P levels with foliar nano-DAP significantly increased the growth attributes such as plant height and number of branches per plant at harvest in the pigeonpea, directly contributing to the TDMP (Table 1). Specifically, the treatment combination of 100% N and P + nano-DAP $@$ 6 ml $L⁻¹$ produced significantly taller plants, more branches per plant, higher TDMP and larger leaf area (177.5 cm, $36.10, 252.2$ g, 103.69 dm² plant⁻¹, respectively). Conversely, the treatment with 0% N and P + nano-DAP $@$ 2 ml L⁻¹ resulted in decreased plant height, number of branches per plant, TDMP, and leaf area of the pigeonpea by 35.94%, 52.19%, 56.98%, and 58.52% over 100% N and P + nano-DAP ω 6 ml L⁻¹ treatment. The correlation studies further these observations (Fig. 1), clearly indicating a positive and significant correlation between seed yield and plant height $(r=0.972)$, number of branches $(r=0.978)$, TDMP ($r=0.989$), and leaf area ($r=0.978$). Soil applied N and P fertilizers provide a steady supply of essential nutrients to support vegetative growth, resulting in increased branching. Additionally, foliar application of nano-DAP enhances P

availability during the reproductive phase, facilitating flower development and ultimately leading to more TDMP, pod formation, and a better seed set. This dual approach optimizes nutrient availability throughout the plant's life cycle, from vegetative growth to reproduction. Soil applied N and P fertilizers also support early plant development, while foliar nano-DAP application fine-tunes nutrient supply during the critical flowering and seed-filling stages. These results closely conform with previous studies conducted by Ajithkumar et al. (2021) and Kumar et al. (2022).

Pigeonpea yield, resulting from various yield attributes, was significantly affected by basal application of N and P levels and foliar application of nano-DAP (Table 2). Among different treatment combinations, the maximum seed yield of 1883 kg ha-¹ and stalk yield of 5138 kg ha⁻¹ of pigeonpea was achieved with the basal application of 100% N and P, along with a foliar spray of nano-DAP $(\hat{\omega})$ 6 ml L⁻¹. Subsequent reduction of the recommended dose (100% N and P) by 25%, coupled with nano-DAP foliar sprays, led to a decrease in seed and stalk yields by 9.82% and 8.80%, respectively with 75% N and $P +$ nano-DAP @ 6 ml L⁻¹. A more substantial decline of 59.90% in seed yield and 52.26% in stalk yield with no basal N and P with nano-DAP ω 2 ml L⁻¹ application treatment. This indicates the necessity of optimum basal nutrient levels for better growth and development. The cumulative beneficial effect of yield attributing characters is also finally reflected in the seed yield. Like seed and stalk yield, the same treatment receiving the basal application of 100% N and P with foliar spray of nano-DAP ω $6 \text{ ml } L^{-1}$ produced better yield attributes like the number of pods per plant (181.79) and seed weight per plant (74.43 g), which were statistically on par with the combination of 100% N and P with nano-DAP $@$ 4 ml L⁻¹ combination. However, test weight was not significantly affected by either treatment. The correlation studies further support this view (Fig. 1), and it indicated a positive and significant correlation between seed yield and stalk weight (r=0.998), number of pods (r=0.994), and seed weight per plant $(r=0.993)$. These results are in close conformity with prior studies by Ajithkumar et al. (2021), Kumar et al. (2022), and Saitheja et al. (2022), which similarly emphasized the significance of 100% recommended dose of N with nanourea ω 4 ml L⁻¹⁻ in achieving higher number of pods per plant, seeds per pod and maximum grain yield.

The combined interactions between basal N and P and the foliar application of nano-DAP led to increased gross returns, net returns, and BCR. Specifically, the interaction treatment receiving the basal application of 100% N and P with foliar spray of nano-DAP $@$ 6 ml $L⁻¹$ recorded higher gross returns $(\overline{\mathfrak{F}} 124255$ ha⁻¹), net returns ($\overline{\mathfrak{F}} 87329$ ha⁻¹), but the highest BCR (3.40) was recorded in 100% N and P along with nano-DAP ω 4 ml L⁻¹ and 2 ml L⁻¹. This discrepancy in BCR values is attributed to the higher cost of cultivation with the use of nano-DAP @ 6 ml L^{-1} (Table 3). These results conform with Renuka (2022) and Kumar et al. (2022), further reinforcing the economic benefits of optimizing N and P levels in conjunction with appropriate nano-DAP application rates.

Comparison between treatment combinations with the recommended package of practice and absolute control

A significant variation in plant height, number of branches, TDMP, number of pods per plant, leaf area, seed weight per plant, seed yield, and stalk yield was also found by comparing the treatment combinations with the recommended package of practices (RPP) and absolute control (AB). The treatment combination of 100% N and P + nano-DAP $@$ 6 ml L⁻¹ recorded a significantly higher seed and stalk yield (1883 and 5138 kg ha-¹, respectively). When RPP was compared with treatment combinations, the RPP $(1712 \text{ and } 4768 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$, respectively) was statistically on par with those obtained with100% N and P + nano-DAP $@$ 2 ml L⁻¹, 75% N and P + nano-DAP $@$ 6 ml L⁻¹ and 75% N and P + nano-DAP $@$ 4 ml L⁻¹. However, significantly lower seed and stalk yield (743 and 2333 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with the absolute control. In comparison with economics, RPP was similar gross returns ($\bar{\tau}$ 112963) and net returns ($\bar{\tau}$ 79637) with treatment combination of 100% N and P along with nano-DAP $@$ 2 ml L⁻¹, 75% N and P along with nano-DAP $@$ 6 ml L⁻¹ ¹ and 75% N and P along with nano-DAP $@$ 4 ml L⁻¹. The maximum BCR was recorded with RPP (3.39) compared to the treatment of 100% N and P along with nano-DAP $@$ 6 ml $L⁻¹$. Still, gross returns and net returns were lower than the best-

References

- Abd Alqader O A, Al-Jobouri S M and Eshoaa L M, 2020, Effect of nitrogenous and urea nano-hydroxyapatite fertilizer on growth and yield of two cultivars of broad bean (Vicia Faba L.). Euphrates Journal of Agriculture Science, 12(2): 202-227.
- Ajithkumar K, Kumar Y, Savitha A S, Ajayakumar M Y, Narayanaswamy C, Raliya R, Krupashankar M R and Bhat S N, 2021, Effect of IFFCO nanofertilizer on growth, grain yield and managing turcicum leaf blight disease in maize. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science, 33(16): 19-28.
- DeRosa M R, Monreal C, Schnitzer M, Walsh R and Sultan Y, 2010, Nanotechnology in fertilizers. National Nanotechnology Journal, 5(2): 91-91.
- Deshbhratar P B, Singh P K, Jambhulkar A P and Ramteke D S, 2010, Effect of sulphur and phosphorus on yield, quality and nutrient status of pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan). Journal of Environmental Biology, 31(6): 933.
- Donald C M, 1962, In search of yield. The Journal of the Australian Institute of Agricultural Science, 28: 1971-1978.
- Gayatri P and Pandian S, 2019, Evaluation of various levels of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and economics of blackgram (Phaseolus mungo L.) in Vylogam soil series of Madurai district. International Journal of Farm Sciences, 9(1): 85-88.
- Gomez K A and Gomez A A, 1984, Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research, An International Rice Research Institute Book, Willy Inter Science Publication, New York, USA, pp. 680.

performing treatments. This difference could be attributed to the higher grain yield of pigeonpea and the reduction in the cost of cultivation in the treatment receiving RPP. These results conform with Renuka (2022) and Kumar et al. (2022), further validating the efficacy of specific treatment combinations in enhancing pigeonpea yield and economic returns.

Conclusion

Considering the basal application of 100% nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), along with the foliar application of nano-DAP at 4 and 6 ml L-1, resulted in higher yields and net returns. However, the associated costs exceeded the recommended package of practice (RPP). For improved nutrient management and profitability, an alternative approach could be adopting 75% of the recommended basal N and P application rates, complemented with foliar sprays of nano-DAP at 6 ml L^{-1} . This strategy not only enhances growth and yield but also achieves a higher benefitcost ratio (BCR). It allows for a significant reduction of 25% in nitrogen and phosphorus use, contributing to cost savings while maintaining agricultural productivity. Thus, recommending 75% N and P basal application with 6 ml L⁻¹ nano-DAP foliar spray provides a balanced approach towards sustainable agriculture, optimizing resources effectively.

- Gomma M A, Radwan F I, Kandil E E and Al-Msari M A F, 2018, Response of some Egyptian and Iraq wheat cultivars to mineral and nano fertilization. Academic Journal of Biological Sciences,9(1): 19-26.
- Hassan R K and Lehmood A M, 2019, Effect of spraying time and concentration of liquid fertilizer on the growth and yield of broad bean (Vicia faba L.). Research on Crops, 20(3): 501-506.
- Hellal F A and Abdelhamid M T, 2013, Nutrient management practices for enhancing soybean (Glycine max L.) production. Acta Biológica Colombiana, 18(2): 239-250.
- Indiastat, 2022, Area, production and productivity of pigeonpea, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, available on Website: http://www.indiastat.com.
- Islam M Z A, Alim S M A, Hoque M M, Islam M M and Adhikary S, 2023, Effect of nano urea foliar spray on yield and yield attributes of Black Gram (Vigna mungo L.). Journal of Agroforestry and Environment, 16(1): 64-66.
- Jaisankar P and Manivannan K, 2018, Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield characters of bush bean (Dolichos lablab var. Typicus). Plant Archives, 18(2): 2194-2198.
- Kah M, Kookana R S, Gogos A and Bucheli T D, 2018, A critical evaluation of nanopesticides and nano-fertilizers against their conventional analogues. Nature Nano technology, 13(8): 677-684.

J. Farm Sci., 37(2): () 2024

- Kavitha M P, Balakumbahan R and Prabukumar G, 2019, Effect of foliar spray and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of vegetable cowpea (Vigna unguiculata). Indian Journal of Agricultural Research, 53(6): 745-748.
- Kumar R, Ram H, Meena R K, Kumar M, Verma A K, Kumar S, Makrana G, Kumar D and Jat P L, 2022, Effect of nano nitrogen application on yield, nutrient uptake and profitability in fodder oat (Avena sativa L.) under northwestern Haryana condition. Range Management and Agroforestry, 43(2): 340-344.
- Kumawat P K, Tiwari R C, Golada S L, Godara A S, Garhwal R S and Choudhary R, 2013, Effect of phosphorus sources, levels and biofertilizers on yield attributes, yield and economics of black gram (Phaseolus mungo L.). Legume Research, (36): 70-73.
- Liu R and Lal R, 2014, Synthetic apatite nanoparticles as a phosphorus fertilizer for soybean (Glycine max). Scientific reports, 4(1),pp.1-6.
- Merghany M, Shahein M M, Sliem M A, Abdelgawad K F and Radwan A F, 2019, Effect of nano-fertilizers on cucumber plant growth, fruit yield and its quality. Plant Archives, 19(2): 165-172.
- Milani N, McLaughlin M J, Stacey S P, Kirby J K, Hettiarachchi G M, Beak D G and Cornelis G, 2012, Dissolution kinetics of macronutrient fertilizers coated with manufactured zinc oxide nanoparticles. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 60(16): 3991-3998.
- Monica M, Dash A K, Panda N, Sahu S G, Prusty M and Pradan P P, 2020, Photosynthetic activity, yield, nutrient use efficiency and economics of rice (Oryza sativa L.) as influenced by foliar supplementation of urea phosphate. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science, 68(4): 423-430.
- Mullen A, 2019, Expectations from nano in agriculture. Nature Nanotechnology, 14(6): 515-516.
- Onasanya R O, Aiyelari O P, Onasanya A, Oikeh S, Nwilene F E and Oyelakin O O, 2009, Growth and yield response of maize (Zea mays L.) to different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers in southern Nigeria. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5(4): 400-407.
- Renuka B A, 2022, Effect of Nano DAP on yield, nutrient uptake, availability and economics of soybean. M. Sc. (Agri), Thesis, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India.
- Sabir S, Zahoor M A, Waseem M, Siddique M H, Shafique M and Imran M, 2020, Biosynthesis of ZnO nanoparticles using Bacillus subtilis: characterization and nutritive significance for promoting plant growth in Zea mays L. Dose-Response 18: 1-9.
- Saitheja V, Senthivelu M, Prabukumar G and Prasad V, 2022, Maximizing the productivity and profitability of summer irrigated greengram (Vigna radiata L.) by combining basal nitrogen dose and foliar nutrition of nano and normal urea. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science, 34(22): 109-116.
- Salam A, Khan A R, Liu L, Yang S, Azhar W, Ulhassan Z, Zeeshan M, Wu J, Fan X and Gan Y, 2022, Seed priming with zinc oxide nanoparticles down played ultrastructural damage and improved photosynthetic apparatus in maize under cobalt stress. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 423: 127021.
- Sapkota A, Shrestha R K and Chalise D, 2017, Response of maize to the soil application of nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizers. International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology, 5(4): 537-541.
- Selim Y A, Azb M A, Ragab I and H M Abd El-Azim M, 2020, Green synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using aqueous extract of Deverra tortuosa and their cytotoxic activities. Scientific reports, 10(1):3445.
- Xu K, Chai Q, Hu F, Fan Z and Yin W, 2021, N-fertilizer postponing application improves dry matter translocation and increases system productivity of wheat/maize intercropping. Scientific Reports, 11(1): 22825.