

Genetic variability and character association studies in *Triticum* species

SUSHMITA P. KOTABAL¹, S. A. DESAI^{*}, SUMA S. BIRADAR¹, U. V. MUMMIGATTI²
AND S. S. CHANDRASHEKHAR³

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, ²Department of Crop physiology

³Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Dharwad
University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad - 580 005, India

*E -mail: desaisa@uasd.in

(Received: September, 2024 ; Accepted: December, 2025)

DOI: 10.61475/JFS.2025.v38i4.02

Abstract: This study evaluated the variability and interrelationships among traits in 18 wheat genotypes, including 10 checks and 8 advanced breeding lines, representing both *Triticum aestivum* L. (bread wheat) and *Triticum durum* (macaroni wheat). These species are vital to agriculture in the Indian subcontinent, with bread wheat primarily used for baking and durum wheat for pasta production. The analysis revealed that the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was consistently higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) across most traits. Notably, traits such as grain yield, spike length, peduncle length, 1000-grain weight, and biomass exhibited high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as a percentage of the mean, underscoring the potential for effective selection to enhance these characteristics. Correlation analysis indicated a positive and significant association between grain yield and key traits, including the number of productive tillers, spike length, and biomass. This suggests that targeted improvements in these traits could significantly boost grain yield in wheat.

Key words: Advanced breeding lines, Correlation, Heritability, Variability

Introduction

Wheat is one of the world's most important and widely cultivated cereal crop. It is primarily grown for its grain, which serves as a staple food for a large portion of the global population. Belonging to the genus *Triticum*, wheat is rich in carbohydrate and is also a significant source of dietary fiber, vitamins and minerals. The primary purpose of wheat cultivation is to produce grain, which is then grinded to flour for making bread, pasta, pastries, and other baked foods. The main types of wheat are *Triticum aestivum*, known as common wheat, and *Triticum durum*, known as durum wheat. Common wheat is mainly used for baking, while durum wheat is predominantly used for pasta production.

According to the estimates by Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) for the year 2022, 770 million metric tons of wheat were produced globally on 221 million hectares of land (Anon., 2022). Despite these significant production figures, wheat cultivation needs increase to meet the projected global food demand for an estimated 9 billion people by 2050 (Ray *et al.*, 2013).

Studying variability in crop plants like wheat is vital for enhancing genetic diversity, which serves as the basis for improving key traits such as yield, growth and quality. It allows breeders to select and develop varieties that are better adapted to diverse environments and agricultural practices. By understanding the range of genetic variation, breeders can make informed decisions to improve traits like grain size, nutritional content and overall performance. This helps in meeting market demands, improving crop productivity and ensuring the sustainability of wheat production across different regions and conditions.

The total variability can be divided into heritable and non-heritable components using genetic parameters such as the phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV), heritability, and genetic advance as a per cent of mean. High heritability alone is insufficient for efficient selection in of advanced breeding lines unless accompanied by a significant genetic advance. Additionally, some researchers (Kumar and Shukla, 2002 and Ismail *et al.*, 2001) argue that data on genotypic and phenotypic correlations between yield and its component traits is essential for improving yield through selection programs.

Material and methods

The current experiment was carried out at the Main agriculture research station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad. The study involved 18 genotypes, consisting of 8 advanced breeding lines and 10 checks. Current experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with two replications with spacing of 20 cm between rows and 2 cm between plants under field conditions during the *rabi* season. Observations were taken under field conditions included, days to fifty percent flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of productive tillers per meter, spike length, number grains per spike, thousand grain weight (g), peduncle length (cm), grain yield per plot ($q\text{ ha}^{-1}$), biomass ($q\text{ ha}^{-1}$), Soil Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) chlorophyll content, Normalized Difference Vegetative Index (NDVI), leaf waxiness, relative water content (RWC) and the average values of each trait was further employed for statistical analysis. Some of the quality parameters studied are protein content, zinc content (ppm) and iron content (ppm).

The GCV and PCV were calculated using the formula provided by Burton and Devane (1953) and classified according to method suggested by Shivasubramanian and Menon (1973). Heritability in broad sense was computed using the following formula given by Weber and Moorthy (1952) and categorized as demonstrated by Robinson *et al.* (1949). Further, genetic advance as a percent mean were computed as suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955). The correlation coefficient analysis among all possible character combination at phenotypic level was estimated employing the formula as suggested by Aljibouri *et al.* (1958).

Results and discussion

Variability

The estimates of genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variation, heritability (H^2), genetic advance as a per cent of mean (GAM) are presented in Table 1. The PCV values were slightly higher than the respective GCV for all the characters denoting little influence of environmental factors on their expression, means that these traits were less influenced by environment.

The study revealed a range of genetic and phenotypic variability across the traits analyzed, offering valuable insights for wheat improvement programs. For days to 50 percent flowering, lower estimates of GCV (4.46) and PCV (4.60) were observed, accompanied by high heritability (94.29) but low GAM (8.93). This suggests that the trait is influenced by non-additive genetic factors, making direct selection less effective,

Table 1. The percentage of genetic variability parameters, heritability and GAM for morpho-physiological traits, yield and yield components and micronutrient contents in different species of wheat genotypes

Characters	GCV(%)	PCV(%)	H^2 (%)	GAM
DFF	4.46	4.60	94.29	8.93
DM	2.1	2.67	60.00	3.1
PH	10.82	13.15	67.74	18.35
NPT	8.94	10	79.8	16.45
SL	17.73	18.62	90.61	34.76
PL	27.3	28.39	92.41	54.05
GPS	11.81	17.93	43.38	16.02
TGW	17.28	18.39	88.2	33.42
GY	20	21.53	73.84	32.75
BMS	19.13	19.61	95.11	38.43
HI	13.56	18.22	55.35	20.78
NDVI I	3.83	4.71	66.25	6.42
NDVI II	19	22.05	74.26	33.73
SPAD II	6.96	7.43	87.73	13.44
RWC	4.57	5.46	70.25	7.9
Protein	3.61	6.72	28.77	3.98
Zn	13.13	16.81	61.02	21.13
Fe	3.33	7.48	19.81	3.05

DFF-days to 50 per cent flowering, DM-Days to maturity, PH-plant height(cm), NPT-Number of productive tiller spm, SL-spike length (cm), PL-peduncle length (cm), TGW- thousand grain weight, YLD - grain yield ($q\text{ ha}^{-1}$), BMS- biomass ($q\text{ ha}^{-1}$), GPS- number of grains per spike, HI-harvest index, NDVI I – NDVI at anthesis, NDVI II- NDVI at grain filling, SPAD II- SPAD at grain filling, RWC- relative water content, Zn- Zinc content (ppm), Fe- Iron content (ppm)

as high heritability does not always translate into significant genetic gains. Similar results have been reported by Poudel *et al.* (2021), Bhanu *et al.* (2018) and Islam *et al.* (2017). Days to maturity showed low variability, with a PCV of 2.1 and a GCV of 2.67, along side high heritability (60) and low GAM (3.1). Despite the high heritability, the low GAM indicates that the trait is controlled primarily by additive gene action, offering limited potential for improvement through selection. This suggests that the diversity for this trait among the studied genotypes is minimal, making it less suitable for significant genetic improvement.

Plant height demonstrated moderate variability, with GCV(10.82) and PCV(13.15), high heritability (67.74) and moderate GAM (18.35). The moderate GCV implies a genetic contribution to the observed variability, while the slightly higher PCV indicates some environmental influence. The high heritability combined with moderate GAM suggests that the trait is governed by additive gene effects, making it favorable for selection. These findings are in agreement with Arifuzzaman *et al.* (2020) and Mkhabela *et al.* (2019). The number of productive tillers per meter exhibited low GCV (8.94) and moderate PCV (10), with high heritability (79.8) and moderate GAM (18.35), suggesting that the population may have been previously selected for this trait, limiting further genetic variability. These results are consistent with the findings of Thapa *et al.* (2019). Spike length, which is crucial for crop yield due to its influence on the number of spikelets, exhibited moderate GCV (17.73) and PCV (18.62), high heritability (90.61) and high GAM (34.76), indicating that the trait is highly influenced by genetic factors and is ideal for selection. These findings align with those reported by Islam *et al.* (2017), Sharma *et al.* (2018), and Porte *et al.* (2021). Peduncle length recorded high PCV (28.39) and GCV (27.3), with high heritability (92.41) and high GAM (54.05), suggesting that this trait is primarily controlled by genetic factors and offers considerable variation for selection, making it favorable for breeding. Rehman *et al.* (2015) reported similar results.

The number of grains per spike exhibited moderate GCV (17.93) and PCV (11.81), with low heritability (43.38) and moderate GAM (16.02), indicating limited predictability in selection but some potential for improvement. The findings were consistent with those of Porte *et al.* (2020). Thousand grain weight demonstrated moderate PCV (18.39) and GCV (17.28), with high heritability (88.2) and high GAM (33.42), aligning with the results of Poudel *et al.* (2021). Grain yield per plot exhibited high GCV (20) and PCV (21.53), suggesting substantial variability within the panel. High heritability (73.84) and high GAM (32.75) indicate significant genetic control, making the trait suitable for selection, consistent with Thapa *et al.* (2019). Biomass exhibited moderate GCV (19.13) and PCV (19.61), with high heritability (95.11) and high GAM (38.43), indicating potential for genetic improvement through selection. The high heritability suggests that the observed variability is largely due to genetic factors, making it a strong candidate for breeding programs. The harvest index demonstrated moderate GCV (13.56) and PCV (18.22), moderate heritability (55.35) and high

Table 2. Phenotypic correlations among various morpho-physiological traits, yield components and micronutrient content of wheat genotypes

	DFF	DM	PH	NPT	SL	PL	GPS	TGW	BMS	HI	NDVII	NDVIII	SPADII	RWC	LW	PROTEIN	Zn	Fe	YLD										
DFF	1**	0.919***	0.427**	-0.055	-0.016	-0.066	-0.022	-0.103	-0.164	0.139	0.121	0.409*	0.396*	-0.066	-0.081	0.051	-0.068	-0.527**	-0.126										
DM	1**	0.333**	-0.272	0.041	-0.064	-0.028	-0.153	-0.105	0.065	0.216	0.356*	0.269	0	-0.165	0.065	-0.183	-0.566**	-0.226											
PH		1 **	0.32	0.305	-0.109	0.158	-0.318	-0.117	0.153	0.049	0.385*	0.358*	-0.093	0.374*	-0.2	-0.053	-0.388*	0.159											
NPT			1 **	-0.017	0.012	0.065	0.166	-0.137	0.363*	-0.246	0.117	0.332*	-0.13	0.384*	-0.27	0.3	0	0.585**											
SL				1**	-0.165	0.176	-0.101	-0.176	0.049	0.315	-0.034	-0.118	0.097	0.398*	-0.092	-0.228	0.01	-0.034											
PL					1**	-0.237	0.223	0.144	-0.094	0.124	0.075	0.262	0.002	-0.096	0.046	0.202	0.23	-0.148											
GPS						1**	0.007	0.066	0.264	0.121	-0.283	-0.134	0.26	0.26	-0.043	-0.005	0.003	0.213											
TGW							1**	-0.125	-0.093	0.177	-0.061	-0.248	-0.293	-0.132	-0.187	0.145	0.023	0											
BMS								1**	-0.087	-0.259	-0.198	0.03	0.25	-0.164	0.032	-0.036	0.087	-0.189											
HI									1**	-0.048	0.268	0.441**	0.126	0.322	0.186	0.084	-0.103		0.524**										
NDVII										1**	-0.025	-0.132	0.011	0.14	-0.327	-0.18	-0.18	-0.203											
NDVIII											1**	0.437**	-0.226	-0.178	0.144	0.161	-0.29	-0.072											
SPADII												1**	0.294	0.259	0.109	0.246	-0.004	0.069											
RWC													1**	0.362*	-0.129	-0.027	0.159	0.125											
LW														1**	-0.227	-0.19	0.178	0.517**											
PROTEIN															1**	0.107	0.226	-0.26											
Zn																1**	0.152	0.128											
Fe																	1**	-0.038											
YLD																													

*Significant at 5% level; **Significant at 1% level; DFF- days to 50 per cent flowering, DM- Days to maturity, PH- plant height (cm), NPT- No of productive tillers per meter, SL- spike length(cm),PL-peduncle length(cm),TGW-thousand grain weight, YLD-thousand grain weight, BMS-biomass (gha-1),GPS-number of grains per spike, HI-harvest index, NDVII- NDVI at anthesis, NDVIII- NDVI at grainfilling, SPAD II- SPAD at grainfilling, LW-leafwaxiness, RWC-relative water content, Zn-Zinc content(ppm), Fe-Iron content (ppm)

GAM (20.78), indicating moderate progress in improving this trait. This suggests that consistent selection pressure and environmental management are needed to enhance its genetic potential.

The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) I exhibited low GCV (3.83) and PCV(4.71), with high heritability (66.25) and low GAM (6.42), similar to the results obtained by Shehrawat and Kumar (2021). NDVI II exhibited moderate GCV (19) with high PCV (22.05), high GAM (), and high heritability (), consistent with Ramya *et al.* (2015). At the grain-filling stage, SPAD II readings exhibited low GCV (6.96) and PCV(7.43), with high heritability (87.73) and moderate GAM (13.44), indicating limited variability but significant genetic influence, suggesting incremental improvements could be achieved through breeding. Relative water content exhibited low PCV (5.46) and GCV (4.57), with high heritability (70.25) but low genetic advance (7.9), which is in line with the findings of Al-Ashkar *et al.* (2021). Protein content showed low GCV (3.61) and PCV (6.72), with low heritability (28.77) and low GAM (3.98), consistent with the results of Meles *et al.* (2017).

Estimation of association patterns among morphophysiological and yield related traits

Grain yield exhibited a significant positive correlation with several traits, including the number of productive tillers, harvest index and relative water content. This indicates that an increase in these traits contributes directly to higher grain yield, a finding that aligns with Fellahi *et al.* (2024) who reported a significant positive correlation between grain yield and harvest index, reinforcing the critical role of harvest efficiency in yield enhancement. Additionally, plant height showed significant positive association with NDVIII (0.385), SPADII (0.358) and relative water content (0.374), highlighting the relationship between plant vigor and water content. However, plant height exhibited a significant negative correlation with iron content (-0.388), suggesting that taller plants may have reduced iron accumulation, a finding that warrants further investigation into nutrient management strategies.

The number of productive tillers demonstrated a significant positive correlation with traits such as the harvest index (0.366), SPAD II (0.332), relative water content (0.384) and yield (0.585). This suggests that enhancing the number of productive tillers could indirectly improve yield through its association with traits like water content and photosynthetic capacity. In contrast, a negative correlation was observed between grain yield and days to fifty percent flowering. This observation aligns with findings by Gonzalez *et al.* (2007), who also reported a negative correlation between yield and phenological traits. Furthermore, a negative correlation between yield and NDVI II was noted, consistent with the results of Gurumurthy *et al.* (2019), indicating that higher vegetative index values may not always translate into higher grain yields.

Interestingly, a non-significant relationship was found between SPAD II and yield, which is in line with the findings of Zhang *et al.* (2018), suggesting that chlorophyll content, as measured by SPAD, may not be a direct predict or of yield in this case. However, a significant positive correlation between yield and relative water content was observed, highlighting the importance of water retention for grain production. This finding corroborates the results of Kettani *et al.* (2023), who also emphasized the role of relative water content in maintaining crop performance under varying environmental conditions.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the study identified traits with varying levels of genetic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability, and genetic advance. Traits such as spike length, peduncle length, and biomass showed high potential for genetic

improvement due to high heritability and GAM. On the other hand, traits such as days to maturity and relative water content exhibited low variability and limited genetic advance, indicating less potential for significant improvement. These findings provide important insights for breeding programs aimed at enhancing wheat productivity and adaptability. The correlation analysis provides important insights into the relationships between key agronomic traits and grain yield. Traits such as the number of productive tillers, harvest index, and relative water content emerged as critical factors influencing yield, while negative associations with phenological trait and NDVI II suggest that optimizing these traits requires a balance between vegetative growth and reproductive efficiency. These findings offer valuable direction for future wheat breeding programs aimed at improving yield potential under diverse growing conditions.

References

Al-Ashkar I, Al-Suhaibani N, Abdella K, Sallam M, Alotaibi M, Seleiman M F, 2021, Combining genetic and multidimensional analyses to identify interpretive traits related to water shortage tolerance as an indirect selection tool for detecting genotypes of drought tolerance in wheat breeding. *Plants (Basel)*, 10(5): 931.

Aljibouri H A, Miller P A and Robinson H V, 1958, Genotypic and environmental variances and co-variances in a upland cotton cross of inter specific origin. *Agronomy Journal*, 50: 633-636.

Anonymous, 2022, Global Wheat Production, FAOSTAT, <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/>

Arifuzzaman M, Barman S, Hayder S, Azad MAK, Turin M T S, Amzad M A and Masuda M S, 2020, Screening of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under drought stress conditions using multivariate analysis. *Cereal Research Communications*, 48: 301-308.

Bhanu A N, Arun B and Mishra V K, 2018, Genetic variability, heritability and correlation study of physiological and yield traits in relation to heat tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Biomedical Journal of Scientific and Technical Research*, 2(1): 2112- 2116.

Burton G W and Devane E M, 1953, Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*, 45: 478-481.

Fellahi Z E A, Boubellouta T, Hannachi A, Belguet H, Louahdi N, Benmohammed A, Utkina A O and Rebouh N Y, 2024, Exploitation of the genetic variability of diverse metric traits of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. ssp. *durum* Desf.) cultivars for local adaptation to semi-arid regions of Algeria. *Plants*, 13(7): 934.

Gonzalez A, Isaura M and Luis A, 2007, Response of genotypes to terminal soil moisture stress: phenology growth and yield. *Australian Agricultural Research*, 58(1): 29-37.

Gurumurthy S, Arora A, Sarkar B, Harikrishna H, Singh V P, Yadav R and Chinnusamy V, 2019, Phenotyping for stem reserve mobilization efficiency under heat, drought and combined stress along with defoliation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 89(5): 757-762.

Islam A U, Chhabra A K, Dhanda S S and Peerzada O H, 2017, Genetic diversity, heritability and correlation studies for yield and its components in bread wheat under heat stress conditions. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 10(5): 71-77.

Ismail A A, Khalifa M A and Hamam K A, 2001, Genetic studies on some yield traits of durum wheat. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 32: 103-120.

Johnson H W, Robinson H F and Comstock R E, 1955, Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agronomy Journal*, 47(7): 314-318.

Kettani R, Ferrahi M, Nabloussi A, Ziri R and Brhadda N, 2023, Water stress effect on durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) advanced lines at flowering stage under controlled conditions. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 14: 100696.

Kumar P and Shukla R S, 2002, Genetic analysis for yield and its attributed traits in bread wheat under various situation. *JNKVV Research Journal*, 36: 95-97.

Li H, Guo Y, Cui Q, Zhang Z, Yan X, Ahammed G J, Yang X, Yang J, Wei C and Zhang X, 2020, Alkanes (C29 and C31)-mediated intra cuticular wax accumulation contributes to melatonin- and ABA-induced drought tolerance in watermelon. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 39: 1441-1450.

Mkhabela S S, Shimelis H, Odindo A O and Mashilo J, 2019, Response of selected drought- tolerant wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes for agronomic traits and biochemical markers under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B-Soil & Plant Science*, 69(8): 674-689.

Genetic variability and character association

Porte B, Agrawal A P and Gupta V K, 2021, Genetic variability parameters studies under normal and stress conditions of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 10(1): 598-601.

Poudel P B, Poudel M R and Puri R R, 2021, Evaluation of heat stress tolerance in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes using stress tolerance indices in western region of Nepal. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 5: 100179.

Ramya K T, Jain N, Ramya P, Singh P K, Arora A, Singh G P and Prabhu K V, 2015, Genotypic variation for normalized difference vegetation index and its relationship with grain yield in wheat under terminal heat stress. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 75(02): 174-182.

Ray D K, Mueller N D, West P C and Foley J A, 2013, Yield trends are insufficient to double global crop production by 2050. *PloS one*, 8(6): 66428.

Rehman S U, Abid M A, Bilal M, Ashraf J, Liaqat S, Ahmed R I and Qanmber G, 2015, Genotype by trait analysis and estimates of heritability of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under drought and control conditions. *Basic Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Review*, 4(4): 127-134.

Robinson H F, Comstock R E and Harvey V H, 1949, Estimates of heritability and degree of dominance in corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 41: 353-359.

Sharma D, Jaiswal J P, Singh N K, Chauhan A and Gahtyari N C, 2018, Developing a selection criterion for terminal heat tolerance in bread wheat based on various morphophysiological traits. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(7): 2716-2726.

Shehrawat S and Kumar Y, 2021, Genetic architecture of Morphophysiological traits in Wheat Accessions under Terminal Heat Stress. *Ekin Journal of Crop Breeding and Genetics*, 7(1): 34-42.

Shivasubramanian S and Menon N, 1973, Heterosis and inbreeding depression in rice. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 60: 1139-1144.

Thapa R S, Sharma P K, Pratap D, Singh T and Kumar A, 2019, Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under normal and heat stress environment. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 53(1): 51- 56.

Weber C R and Moorthy H R, 1952, Heritable and non-heritable relationship and variability of oil content and agronomic characters in the F_2 generation of soybean crosses. *Agronomy Journal*, 44: 202-209.